

Evolution and Prospects of Perfectionism Research: A Literature Review Perspective

Ye Tao^{1*}, Ilyana Jalaluddin², Zalina Mohd Kasim³

¹Department of English, Faculty of Modern Languages & Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Email: yet6051@gmail.com

²Department of English, Faculty of Modern Languages & Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Email: ilyana@upm.edu.my

³Department of English, Faculty of Modern Languages & Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Email: zalina_mk@upm.edu.my

ABSTRACT

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR (*):

Ye Tao
(yet6051@gmail.com)

KEYWORDS:

Perfectionism
Personality trait
Psychological mechanisms

CITATION:

Ye, T., Ilyana Jalaluddin, & Zalina Mohd Kasim. (2025). Evolution and Prospects of Perfectionism Research: A Literature Review Perspective. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 10(9), e003553.
<https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v10i9.3553>

Perfectionism, as a multidimensional personality trait, encompasses both the pursuit of excellence and potential negative psychological outcomes. This review systematically examines the conceptual evolution, major theoretical models, and measurement tools of perfectionism, summarizes its psychological mechanisms and its roles in mental health, academic, occupational, and interpersonal domains, analyzes the current limitations of research, and proposes directions for future studies. The review indicates that adaptive perfectionism is positively associated with personal goal achievement, whereas maladaptive perfectionism significantly predicts psychological disorders, such as anxiety, depression, and burnout. Future research should focus on longitudinal and cross-cultural studies, explore neural mechanisms, and develop intervention strategies to advance both theoretical understanding and practical application.

Contribution/Originality: This study contributes to the existing literature by systematically synthesizing the evolution of perfectionism research. The paper's primary contribution is finding that perfectionism encompasses adaptive and maladaptive dimensions across multiple domains. This study documents theoretical models, measurement tools, psychological mechanisms, and proposes future research directions for advancing understanding and application.

1. Introduction

In contemporary society, perfectionism has emerged as a salient personality disposition, garnering increasing attention across a range of disciplinary domains. A substantial and expanding body of research indicates that perfectionism is not merely a behavioral pattern of striving for excellence, but rather a multifaceted personality construct encompassing diverse psychological dimensions (Lin & Muenks, 2022; Koutra et al.,

2023). Prevailing academic consensus suggests that perfectionists characteristically impose exceedingly high, often unrelenting, standards on themselves and/or others, accompanied by pronounced sensitivity to even minor errors or perceived failures (Burns, 1980; Frost et al., 1990).

Over the past decades, the research focus has broadened beyond the descriptive delineation of perfectionistic traits to encompass its complex interrelations with personality development, motivational regulation, affective processes, mental health, and social adjustment (Chęć et al., 2025; Fekih-Romdhane et al., 2023; Kawamoto et al., 2023; Shin et al., 2023). Empirical findings demonstrate that perfectionism may function as a double-edged construct: on one hand, it can foster enhanced academic or occupational performance through heightened self-imposed standards and persistent goal pursuit; on the other hand, it is associated with maladaptive outcomes such as depression, burnout, and interpersonal conflict, driven by excessive self-criticism, heightened anxiety, and avoidance tendencies (Chęć et al., 2025; Kyeon et al., 2010; Larionow, 2024; Wang & Wu, 2022). Moreover, socio-contextual determinants, including cultural norms (Heo et al., 2024), social comparison processes (Etherson et al., 2022), and familial socialization practices (Carmo et al., 2021), have been identified as pivotal in both the development and maintenance of perfectionistic tendencies.

Despite notable theoretical and empirical advancements, research on perfectionism continues to confront several unresolved challenges. First, conceptual definitions and structural models remain contested, with divergent perspectives across theoretical traditions. Second, the psychometric adequacy of existing measurement instruments, particularly their cross-cultural validity and dimensional specificity, requires further refinement. Third, the dynamic processes and causal pathways underlying perfectionism remain insufficiently understood, owing to the scarcity of robust longitudinal and experimental evidence. Addressing these limitations necessitates a systematic synthesis of existing literature to clarify the trajectory of theoretical development, consolidate core empirical insights, identify persistent gaps, and delineate directions for theoretical innovation, empirical investigation, and applied psychological intervention.

Against this backdrop, the present review seeks to provide a comprehensive and integrative examination of perfectionism research. Specifically, it first traces the conceptual evolution and theoretical modeling of perfectionism, followed by a critical overview of predominant measurement approaches. It then examines underlying psychological mechanisms and explanatory pathways, before evaluating the implications of perfectionism across domains such as mental health, academic and occupational functioning, interpersonal relationships, and broader social outcomes. Finally, the review synthesizes current challenges and proposes trajectories for future inquiry. By doing so, it aims to furnish a coherent and systematic reference point for advancing theoretical integration and informing applied practice.

1.1. Research Objectives

The objectives of this review are threefold:

- i. To trace the conceptual evolution, theoretical models, and measurement tools of perfectionism.
- ii. To summarize the psychological mechanisms and impacts of perfectionism across domains such as mental health, academic and occupational functioning, and interpersonal relationships.

- iii. To identify current limitations in the literature and propose directions for future research and practical interventions.

2. Research Methods

This article is based on an integrative review of existing literature. Academic databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched using keywords such as perfectionism, personality trait, adaptive perfectionism, maladaptive perfectionism, psychological mechanisms, and measurement of perfectionism. The search covered publications between 1965 and 2025. Only peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and scholarly reviews were included, while non-academic sources were excluded.

A thematic analysis was conducted to identify key trends, theoretical frameworks, measurement approaches, and applied research domains. The analysis was organized around theoretical evolution, measurement tools, psychological mechanisms, impact domains, and future directions.

3. Findings

3.1. Theoretical Development and Measurement of Perfectionism

3.1.1. A Brief Introduction of Conceptual Evolution

Since the late 20th century, both theoretical and empirical research on perfectionism as a personality trait has undergone substantial conceptual evolution. Early investigations, grounded primarily in a unidimensional and pathologizing framework, characterized perfectionism as a maladaptive trait (Hollender, 1965), closely linked to psychological difficulties such as anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and eating disorders. However, with the advancement of personality psychology, scholars increasingly recognized that perfectionism is not inherently negative; rather, it represents a complex, multidimensional construct. Hamachek (1978) introduced the distinction between “normal perfectionism” and “neurotic perfectionism,” the former reflecting the pursuit of high standards accompanied by a sense of accomplishment and satisfaction, and the latter marked by intolerance of mistakes, heightened anxiety, and excessive self-criticism. This dichotomous framework laid a crucial foundation for the development of subsequent multidimensional models.

In the 1990s, Frost et al. (1990) proposed the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (FMPS), encompassing six dimensions such as “personal standards,” and “concern over mistakes.” Concurrently, Hewitt and Flett (1991) advanced another seminal tripartite model, distinguishing perfectionism into “self-oriented,” “other-oriented,” and “socially prescribed” forms. Both frameworks highlighted the heterogeneity of perfectionism in terms of motivational sources, cognitive tendencies, and sociocultural contexts.

Building on these perspectives, Slade and Owens (1998) introduced the dual process model, differentiating between positive and negative perfectionism, thereby underscoring its dual-impact nature. Later, Stoeber and Otto (2006), synthesizing findings from multidimensional research, posited that perfectionism could be organized into two higher-order dimensions: perfectionistic strivings, which are typically

associated with adaptive achievement motivation, and perfectionistic concerns, which are strongly linked to psychological distress and maladaptive outcomes.

Taken together, the trajectory of perfectionism research has shifted from an early, exclusively pathological portrayal toward a multidimensional, integrative framework that incorporates both adaptive and maladaptive facets. This paradigm shift has not only expanded theoretical perspectives but has also provided a more nuanced foundation for clinical intervention and positive development research.

3.1.2. An Overview of Theoretical Model of Perfectionism

The Dual Process Model of perfectionism, proposed by [Slade and Owens \(1998\)](#), is explicitly grounded in [Skinner's \(1968\)](#) reinforcement theory. According to reinforcement principles, behavior can be maintained either through positive reinforcement, which strengthens behavior via the attainment of rewards or desired outcomes, or negative reinforcement, which sustains behavior through the avoidance of aversive outcomes.

This model applies these mechanisms to the development and maintenance of perfectionism. Adaptive perfectionism is primarily driven by positive reinforcement. Individuals strive toward an ideal self, pursue high standards, and experience intrinsic satisfaction and positive affect upon goal attainment. This self-approach motivation is typically associated with personal growth and psychological well-being. Maladaptive perfectionism, in contrast, is largely maintained by negative reinforcement. Such individuals are motivated to avoid a feared self, prevent errors, and escape potential criticism or failure. This defensive, avoidance-oriented motivation is linked to anxiety, self-criticism, and emotional distress.

In summary, adaptive perfectionists are driven by the pursuit of an ideal self, whereas maladaptive perfectionists are motivated by the avoidance of a feared self ([Slade & Owens, 1998](#)). By integrating reinforcement theory with perfectionism research, the dual process model clarifies the motivational heterogeneity of perfectionism and offers a theoretical framework for understanding its double-edged influence on mental health and functioning.

3.1.3. Measurement of Perfectionism

The assessment of perfectionism has relied predominantly on self-report questionnaires, which remain the most feasible and widely used approach in research settings ([Enns & Cox, 2002](#)). Although exploratory methods such as interviews, peer ratings, and behavioral tasks have been proposed, self-report scales continue to dominate empirical studies. Among the various instruments developed, two multidimensional measures have become the cornerstone of perfectionism research: the [Frost et al. \(1990\)](#) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (FMPS) and the [Hewitt and Flett \(1991\)](#) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (HMPS). These two scales have not only shaped the theoretical conceptualization of perfectionism but also provided robust tools for investigating its adaptive and maladaptive correlates.

a) [Frost et al. \(1990\)](#) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (FMPS)

The FMPS (Frost et al., 1990) was one of the first tools to systematically capture the multidimensional nature of perfectionism, with a particular focus on cognitive and behavioral features. It includes six dimensions:

- i. Concern over Mistakes (CM): excessive sensitivity to errors and failures.
- ii. Parental Expectations (PE): perceived high standards set by parents.
- iii. Parental Criticism (PC): perceived parental criticism when standards are not met.
- iv. Doubts about Actions (DA): frequent self-doubt and lack of confidence in decisions.
- v. Personal Standards (PS): setting high personal goals.
- vi. Organization (OR): preference for order and neatness.

According to Frost et al. (1990), the first four dimensions primarily reflect maladaptive perfectionism, which is strongly associated with self-criticism, anxiety, and depression. In contrast, Personal Standards and Organization often correlate with positive personal characteristics. The FMPS has been extensively used to examine the internal cognitive-behavioral aspects of perfectionism and their links to psychological distress. However, its limitation lies in the restricted attention to social and interpersonal contexts, which limits its explanatory power for the social and functional consequences of perfectionism.

b) Hewitt & Flett (1991) Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (HMPS)

In contrast, the HMPS (Hewitt & Flett, 1991) was developed to emphasize the social and motivational components of perfectionism, highlighting the role of interpersonal dynamics and perceived external pressure. It consists of three dimensions:

- i. Self-Oriented Perfectionism (SOP): imposing high standards and strict demands on oneself.
- ii. Other-Oriented Perfectionism (OOP): holding excessively high expectations toward others.
- iii. Socially Prescribed Perfectionism (SPP): perceiving that others or society demand perfection from oneself.

The HMPS provides a valuable framework for understanding perfectionism in social functioning and emotional disorders, as SPP has been consistently linked to anxiety, depression, and interpersonal difficulties (Hewitt & Flett, 2002). Nevertheless, it offers less granularity in cognitive-behavioral features and is less effective in differentiating adaptive from maladaptive components compared to the FMPS.

c) Comparative Overview

The complementary strengths and limitations of these two instruments have shaped current research paradigms. The FMPS excels in delineating cognitive-behavioral patterns and differentiating adaptive vs. maladaptive components, whereas the HMPS captures the social-motivational aspects that are critical to understanding interpersonal stress and broader psychosocial outcomes. A comparative summary is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison of Common Multidimensional Perfectionism Scales

Scale	Core Dimensions	Theoretical Focus	Typical Applications	Strengths	Limitations
FMPS (Frost et al., 1990)	CM (Maladaptive)	Focuses on cognitive and behavioral features of perfectionism, emphasizing internal reactions to errors and high standards	Studies linking perfectionism to anxiety, depression, self-criticism, and other psychological distress	Clear multidimensional structure; distinguishes adaptive vs. maladaptive tendencies; widely used in clinical and personality research	Limited attention to social and interpersonal contexts; conceptual overlap among some subscales
	PE (Maladaptive) PC (Maladaptive) DA (Maladaptive) PS (Adaptive) OR (Adaptive)				
HMPS (Hewitt & Flett, 1991)	SOP(Adaptive/Mixed) OOP(Maladaptive/Mixed) SPP (Maladaptive)	Focuses on social motives and interpersonal aspects, emphasizing perceived external expectations and social pressure	Research on perfectionism in interpersonal stress, social functioning, and emotional disorders	Highlights the social context of perfectionism; SPP strongly predicts various psychological difficulties	Less detailed in cognitive-behavioral components; less effective in differentiating adaptive vs. maladaptive traits

3.2. Psychological Mechanisms of Perfectionism

3.2.1. Cognitive Mechanisms

Maladaptive perfectionists often exhibit heightened sensitivity to error-related cues during information processing (Meyer & Wissemann, 2020). Such sensitivity manifests not only in immediate reactions following task performance but may also emerge during anticipatory phases, triggering anxiety and avoidance tendencies in advance. These individuals tend to fixate excessively on details at the expense of overarching goals, thereby fostering rigid cognitive patterns and entrenched belief systems. For instance, even minor mistakes are frequently construed as evidence of personal incompetence, which can swiftly escalate into a globalized negative self-evaluation.

Experimental findings by Frost et al. (1995) demonstrate that perfectionists with elevated concern over mistakes often equate errors with failure, leading to diminished self-confidence and the belief that others will consequently view them as less intelligent. This pattern aligns with cognitive distortion theories, such as “all-or-nothing” thinking, where failing to meet absolute standards results in perceiving oneself as a complete failure (Chung & Lee, 2024). Even minor setbacks in daily life may be catastrophized by such perfectionists, interpreted as a negation of self-worth, thereby intensifying internal criticism and self-doubt (Sederlund et al., 2020). This catastrophic cognitive style not only constrains flexibility in problem-solving but also reinforces fear of failure, contributing to hesitation and procrastination when confronted with new challenges.

3.2.2. Emotional and Motivational Mechanisms

Perfectionists often operate under a sustained state of heightened emotional arousal, frequently accompanied by chronic anxiety, depression, and feelings of shame. This

emotional profile is closely tied to their evaluative dependence on self-worth: their sense of self-affirmation often hinges upon external approval and error-free performance. Perfectionism, particularly the maladaptive or socially prescribed forms, is strongly associated with a deep-seated fear of failure. Individuals with these tendencies believe that others expect flawless performance from them; consequently, their behavior is often driven by motives to “avoid failure” and to avert feelings of shame or guilt (Dorevitch, 2020). While such avoidance-oriented motivation may temporarily enhance engagement, it tends to result in long-term depletion of psychological resources and emotional exhaustion.

By contrast, adaptive or self-oriented perfectionists are more likely to be guided by intrinsic goals, with motivation stemming from the pursuit of excellence, self-improvement, and personal growth. For instance, a study of university students found that self-oriented perfectionism was significantly associated with intrinsic motivation, whereas socially prescribed perfectionism was more strongly linked to extrinsic motivation (Stoeber et al., 2009). Further research grounded in self-determination theory has demonstrated that adaptive perfectionists score higher on intrinsic, integrated, and identified forms of motivation, indicating that their actions are more frequently driven by personal values and interests (Vicent et al., 2020). These individuals typically report sustained achievement satisfaction, greater psychological resilience, and higher subjective well-being, thereby reinforcing the view that their striving reflects a motivational mechanism oriented toward excellence and self-transcendence (Suh et al., 2017). Nevertheless, when external pressures conflict with their internal standards, even striving-oriented individuals may experience emotional disequilibrium.

3.2.3. Social and Familial Influences

At the social and family levels, elevated parental expectations and harsh criticism often serve as formative factors for socially prescribed perfectionism (SPP), becoming internalized into individuals' personal standards and evaluative frameworks through early socialization processes. This pattern frequently manifests in family communication as a disproportionate emphasis on achievement at the expense of emotional support, thereby undermining self-esteem and a sense of security. Curran and Hill (2022) argue that, against the backdrop of intensifying competition, the prevalence of individualism, growing economic inequality, and mounting pressures to excel in school and university, increased parental expectations and criticism represent the most plausible explanation to date for the observed rise in perfectionism.

Meta-analytic evidence from Curran and Hill (2022) indicates that parental expectations and criticism are strongly and positively associated with SPP, more so than with self-oriented or other-oriented perfectionism, and that these pressures have shown a steady upward trend over recent decades. The theoretical framework proposed by Flett et al. (2002) further delineates two explanatory models: the social expectations model, in which parents convey the belief that “one must be perfect to be loved,” prompting children to internalize these external demands as self-judgment standards; and the social learning model, which emphasizes that children may develop perfectionistic tendencies through modeling their parents' own perfectionistic behaviors (Carmo et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2022). Moreover, research suggests a gender-concordant pattern of parental influence: maternal SPP is more likely to foster maladaptive perfectionism in daughters, whereas paternal influence appears stronger in sons (Martucci et al., 2023). Parenting style also plays a critical role: Carmo et al. (2021) report that authoritarian parenting,

characterized by high control and pronounced pressure, more readily induces maladaptive perfectionism, highlighting the importance of parenting practices in shaping perfectionistic tendencies.

Sociocultural context likewise exerts a profound influence on the development, expression, and psychological consequences of perfectionism. In individualistic cultures, such as those prevalent in Western societies, personal competition, autonomy, and achievement are highly valued, fostering a “competitive perfectionism” whereby self-worth is affirmed through surpassing others. In contrast, collectivist cultures, such as those common in East Asia, place greater emphasis on family honor, group harmony, and filial responsibility; here, individuals may internalize external expectations, viewing “perfection” as a condition for maintaining relationships and social identity. Cross-cultural research by [Smith et al. \(2016\)](#) found that Canadian students scored higher on perfectionistic striving, whereas Chinese students reported equally strong concerns about mistakes and imperfect performance, suggesting that, although the forms of pressure differ across cultures, anxiety about imperfection is a pervasive phenomenon.

3.2.4. Physiological Mechanisms

Perfectionism extends beyond cognitive, emotional, and social domains to encompass long-term adaptations and alterations within physiological systems. A substantial body of research has demonstrated that maladaptive perfectionism is closely linked to the functioning of the stress-response system. Under the persistent drive of high standards and fear of failure, individuals may exhibit adverse physiological profiles, such as chronically elevated cortisol levels or disrupted circadian rhythms.

For example, in a study of middle-aged men, [Wirtz et al. \(2007\)](#) found that perfectionism significantly predicted the magnitude of cortisol responses to experimentally induced psychological stress, suggesting stronger activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Similarly, [Nealis et al. \(2020\)](#) reported that university students with high levels of self-critical perfectionism exhibited elevated morning cortisol concentrations even under low-stress conditions, indicating a physiological system operating under sustained high load. In patients with chronic fatigue syndrome, [Kempke et al. \(2016\)](#) observed that excessive perfectionism-related stress was associated with blunted HPA reactivity, potentially reflecting system depletion following prolonged stress exposure. If such physiological dysregulation persists, it may not only exacerbate anxiety and depressive symptoms but also impair immune function, disrupt sleep and metabolic processes, and, ultimately, undermine overall health.

3.3. Impact Domains of Perfectionism

3.3.1. Mental Health

Perfectionism has long been recognized as a significant topic of inquiry within the field of mental health. As early as [Horney \(1950\)](#), it was vividly described as “the tyranny of the should,” highlighting the pronounced rigidity with which perfectionistic individuals impose demands upon themselves. A substantial body of research has established strong associations between perfectionism and a range of psychological problems ([Shafran & Mansell, 2001](#)). Specifically, perfectionism has been identified as an important risk factor for multiple psychological disorders ([Shafran & Mansell, 2001](#)), including depression ([Wei et al., 2021](#)), suicidal behavior ([Smith et al., 2018a](#)), eating disorders ([Kehayes et al.,](#)

2019), anxiety disorders (Smith et al., 2018b), and obsessive-compulsive disorder (Lunn et al., 2023). Given its wide-ranging psychological implications, some scholars have proposed that perfectionism should be conceptualized as a transdiagnostic psychological mechanism (Egan et al., 2011, cited in De Rosa et al., 2023).

a) Depression

Depression is considered one of the psychological disorders most closely associated with perfectionism (Zhang et al., 2021; Hewitt et al., 2022). Empirical findings indicate that maladaptive perfectionism significantly and positively predicts depressive symptom severity, whereas adaptive perfectionism is, to some extent, a negative predictor of depression (Chai et al., 2020). This distinction underscores the dual nature of perfectionism's impact on mental health, although maladaptive traits are more likely to elicit adverse outcomes.

Mechanistic research has further illuminated the underlying connections between perfectionism and depression. For perfectionistic individuals, rigid self-standards and inflexible goal orientation often foster persistent feelings of frustration and chronic stress. When maladaptive coping strategies are employed, such stress may be transformed into depressive affect and other negative emotional states (Tran & Rimes, 2017). Cognitive neuroscience studies have likewise demonstrated significant associations between stress and depression at the neural level, suggesting that perfectionism may elevate depression risk via stress-response pathways. Notably, Bardone-Cone et al. (2007) found that perfectionistic tendencies often persist even after symptoms of anxiety or depression have remitted, highlighting the trait-like stability of perfectionism.

b) Obsessive - Compulsive Disorder

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is likewise closely associated with perfectionism. Perfectionism has been described as a "necessary but not sufficient condition" for the development of OCD, meaning that while not all perfectionists develop the disorder, elevated perfectionism constitutes a significant risk factor (Miegel et al., 2020). Symptomatically, OCD is frequently characterized by pronounced perfectionistic features, including excessive concern over mistakes, persistent doubts about the correctness of one's actions, and a rigid pursuit of perfection, certainty, or precision.

Empirical evidence further supports this association. Cui et al. (2023) reported that perfectionism is not only a high-risk factor for OCD but is also closely linked to a range of physical and psychological disorders. Compared with healthy control groups, individuals with OCD exhibit markedly higher levels of maladaptive perfectionism, a characteristic that may serve as an important psychological marker distinguishing clinical populations from non-clinical individuals.

c) Anxiety Disorders

Individuals with anxiety disorders often exhibit elevated levels of maladaptive perfectionism, particularly in relation to behavioral doubts (Bardone-Cone et al., 2007). In the first meta-analysis focusing on young populations (ages 6-24; mean age = 17.7 years; 121 studies), Lunn et al. (2023) found a moderate association between perfectionistic concerns and both general anxiety and test anxiety. By contrast, the

association between perfectionistic strivings and anxiety was weaker, though still statistically significant. Similarly, [Burcaş and Creţu \(2021\)](#), in a meta-analysis of 21 studies involving 4,521 participants, reported a significant positive correlation between perfectionistic concerns and test anxiety, whereas perfectionistic strivings showed no significant association with overall levels of test anxiety. These findings further support the notion that distinct dimensions of perfectionism exert differential effects on anxiety outcomes.

Mechanistic research offers additional insight. A meta-analysis by [Smith et al. \(2018c\)](#), encompassing 11 studies, identified “concern over mistakes” and “doubts about actions” as significant predictors of future exacerbation of anxiety symptoms, whereas self-oriented standards also predicted anxiety but with a weaker effect size. [Wang et al. \(2022\)](#) further explained that perfectionists tend to set unrealistically high standards and exhibit heightened sensitivity to errors, which increases their susceptibility to perceived stress and catastrophic anticipation, thereby triggering generalized or social anxiety.

d) Eating Disorders

Perfectionism has long been regarded as one of the core psychological characteristics of eating disorders ([Bardone-Cone et al., 2007](#)), with maladaptive perfectionism being particularly prominent. A substantial body of cross-sectional and longitudinal research has demonstrated significant positive associations between perfectionism and eating disorder symptoms. In a meta-analysis of adult samples, [Stackpole et al. \(2023\)](#) reported that the concerns dimension of perfectionism was correlated with eating disorder symptoms at approximately $r = 0.33$, whereas the association for the strivings dimension was comparatively weaker. Similar patterns have been observed among children and adolescents; according to a meta-analysis by [Livet et al. \(2023\)](#), all reported correlations between perfectionism and eating-related symptoms in these age groups were significantly positive.

Furthermore, [Bardone-Cone et al. \(2010\)](#) found in a study of individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN) that patients exhibited the highest levels of perfectionism, scoring significantly higher than non-clinical controls on both adaptive and maladaptive dimensions. Notably, perfectionism often precedes the onset of eating disorder symptoms and remains elevated even after symptom remission ([Bardone-Cone et al., 2010](#)), suggesting that it may function both as a vulnerability factor and as a maintaining mechanism. This process may be driven by perfectionists’ tendency to catastrophize perceived imperfections in weight, shape, or dietary behavior, thereby exacerbating body dissatisfaction and reinforcing restrictive eating patterns.

3.3.2. Occupational and Academic Outcomes

Perfectionism is highly prevalent among both student and workplace populations, a phenomenon that has attracted considerable scholarly attention. Its potential risks lie in the fact that it may not only negatively affect academic performance and psychological well-being, but also contribute to future occupational burnout and diminished job performance ([Rice et al., 2015](#)). Meta-analyses and systematic reviews have consistently confirmed the significant role of perfectionism in both academic achievement and work-related behaviors.

Bellam and Curran (2025) demonstrated that the dual nature of perfectionism influences academic and occupational outcomes: adaptive perfectionism is positively associated with academic achievement and career persistence, thereby facilitating goal attainment to some extent; in contrast, maladaptive dimensions, such as excessive worry, are closely linked to academic burnout, procrastination, and negative emotional states. In the context of an increasingly competitive society, occupational success is often perceived as proportional to personal effort (Robinson, 2019), and such high-pressure environments may unintentionally reinforce perfectionists' tendency toward sustained overinvestment (Stoeber & Stoeber, 2009).

However, this overinvestment does not necessarily lead to improved performance. For example, a meta-analysis by Bellam and Curran (2025) found that perfectionism shapes individuals' behavioral patterns in the workplace: perfectionistic employees often extend their working hours without producing proportionately higher output. Related research has also identified a significant positive association between perfectionism and workaholism (Clark et al., 2016), with approximately 30% of studies supporting perfectionism as a significant predictor of workaholism (Morkevičiūtė et al., 2021). Vieriu and Hainagiu (2025) further confirmed that maladaptive perfectionism drives workaholic tendencies and undermines long-term career sustainability. This is particularly evident in high-stress professions such as healthcare, where perfectionism, in combination with anxiety and depression, may compromise both professional success and well-being (Humphrey & Causey-Upton, 2022).

In the educational domain, the relationship between perfectionism and academic achievement is similarly complex and consequential (Stoeber et al., 2017). Rice et al. (2015) systematic review indicated that perfectionism is pervasively associated with academic contexts, and its prevalence among students, particularly university students, has shown a continuous upward trend (Rice et al., 2015). Etherson et al. (2022), in a study of 604 Canadian adolescents, found a positive association between perfectionism and academic grades; however, this relationship may be bidirectional, with high-achieving students being more likely to develop perfectionistic tendencies, rather than perfectionism directly producing superior performance. Damian et al. (2017) further revealed that high-achieving students often regard perfection as an attainable standard; under the combined influence of elevated external expectations and self-imposed demands, their levels of perfectionism may progressively intensify. This suggests that academic success may serve both as a catalyst for perfectionism and as a source of greater psychological strain and self-criticism.

In sum, perfectionism exerts both beneficial and detrimental influences in academic and occupational contexts. While moderate, goal-directed perfectionism can foster personal development and persistence, excessive self-criticism and worry may diminish well-being, reduce performance, and heighten psychological burden. Accordingly, future research and practice should focus on guiding individuals in educational and workplace settings toward adaptive forms of perfectionism while preventing the development of maladaptive patterns, thereby promoting achievement without compromising mental health or career sustainability.

3.3.3. Interpersonal Relationships

The impact of perfectionism on interpersonal relationships can be understood through three primary pathways. First, the external/socially prescribed pathway: when

individuals perceive that others hold unrealistic or overly critical expectations of them, they are more likely to experience feelings of rejection, emotional insecurity, and may respond with excessive compliance or avoidance. Second, the self-presentation pathway: in order to maintain an idealized “perfect” self-image, individuals may engage in perfectionistic self-presentation, which can render interpersonal interactions distant and lacking in authenticity. Third, the other-oriented pathway: setting excessively high standards for others (other-oriented perfectionism) can directly trigger interpersonal conflicts and tensions.

A substantial body of empirical research indicates that perfectionists often encounter significant interpersonal difficulties in social contexts, largely because they tend to internalize perceived expectations from others as rigid personal standards, thereby experiencing heightened social pressure. Among these pathways, socially prescribed perfectionism (SPP) shows the most consistent association with interpersonal problems, manifesting in heightened rejection sensitivity, more frequent interpersonal conflicts, increased feelings of isolation, and reduced relationship quality (Smith et al., 2018a; Flett et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2024). Individuals high in SPP are not only more likely to encounter conflict and relational strain in social interactions (Smith et al., 2018b), but are also more prone to depression, interpersonal stress, and other forms of psychological distress (Flett et al., 2022).

Research has further shown that SPP elevates both conflict and psychological burden in friendships and peer relationships (Flett et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2024), and is often accompanied by shyness, low social self-esteem, and deficits in social skills (Flett et al., 1996). This maladaptive tendency exerts adverse effects across different populations: in children, it is strongly associated with rejection sensitivity and emotional disorders (Magson et al., 2019); in student populations, it predicts lower perceived social support and, through the mediating role of loneliness, exacerbates psychological distress (Chen et al., 2024).

Moreover, Flett et al. (2014) argued that perfectionism can undermine relationship quality through mechanisms such as perfectionistic self-presentation, validation seeking, and shame/self-criticism, ultimately leading to depression, anxiety, and social withdrawal. Perfectionists often adopt a self-focused orientation, striving for perfection as a means of affirming self-worth, while remaining highly sensitive to potential cues of social failure. More recent evidence suggests that perfectionism not only directly predicts interpersonal sensitivity, but also indirectly affects interpersonal adjustment through multiple mediating pathways involving psychological resilience, peer relationships, and core self-evaluations (Zhang et al., 2025).

4. Current Challenges and Future Directions

Despite extensive research, consensus on the conceptualization and structure of perfectionism remains elusive. Prominent models, such as Frost's et al. (1990) multidimensional framework and Hewitt and Flett's (1991) three-dimensional model, show dimensional overlap and definitional ambiguity. For instance, self-oriented perfectionism and personal standards largely capture similar tendencies, while socially prescribed perfectionism is difficult to distinguish from general perceptions of external pressure. This lack of clarity hampers theoretical integration and complicates the development and interpretation of measurement tools.

Empirical research has predominantly relied on cross-sectional designs, which highlight correlations between perfectionism, mental health, emotional experiences, and social functioning. However, the causal mechanisms underlying the emergence and maintenance of perfectionism remain poorly understood. Longitudinal and experimental studies are urgently needed to clarify the dynamic processes and causal pathways linking perfectionism to psychological adjustment. In parallel, intervention studies, for instance, using cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), could provide critical evidence on how perfectionism and its associated distress can be effectively modified.

Current research is also culturally and demographically limited, focusing largely on Western populations. Cultural values, family socialization, and societal expectations may significantly shape how perfectionism manifests and impacts well-being. Moreover, systematic studies on children, adolescents, working adults, and older adults are scarce, leaving the lifespan developmental trajectory of perfectionism largely unexplored. Future research should therefore prioritize cross-cultural comparisons, diverse age groups, and lifespan-oriented longitudinal studies to reveal developmental patterns, critical transitions, and culture-specific risk or protective factors.

5. Conclusion

Perfectionism is a multifaceted personality trait with both adaptive and maladaptive dimensions, influencing individuals' cognition, emotion, motivation, physiology, and social functioning. Over the past decades, research has shifted from a unidimensional, pathology-focused view to a nuanced, multidimensional framework that recognizes its dual nature. Adaptive perfectionism can promote achievement, persistence, and personal growth, while maladaptive perfectionism is closely linked to psychological distress, including depression, anxiety, OCD, eating disorders, interpersonal conflicts, and occupational burnout.

Despite advances in theoretical models and measurement tools, challenges remain in conceptual clarity, causal mechanism identification, and cultural generalizability. Current studies are dominated by cross-sectional designs and Western samples, limiting understanding of developmental trajectories and cross-cultural differences. Future research should adopt longitudinal, experimental, and cross-cultural approaches, explore neurobiological mechanisms, and develop effective interventions to foster adaptive forms of perfectionism while mitigating its maladaptive consequences. Through such efforts, both theoretical integration and practical applications in mental health, education, and workplace contexts can be significantly enhanced.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Acknowledgement

Not applicable.

Funding

No funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors reported no conflicts of interest for this work and declare that there is no potential conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

References

- Bardone-Cone, A. M., Wonderlich, S. A., Frost, R. O., Bulik, C. M., Mitchell, J. E., Uppala, S., & Simonich, H. (2007). Perfectionism and eating disorders: Current status and future directions. *Clinical psychology review*, 27(3), 384–405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2006.12.005>
- Bellam, A., & Curran, T. (2025). Perfectionism and work performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 98(3), e70050. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.70050>
- Burcaş, S., & Creţu, R. Z. (2021). Multidimensional perfectionism and test anxiety: A meta-analytic review of two decades of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(1), 249–273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09531-3>
- Burns, D. D. (1980). The perfectionist's script for self-defeat. *Psychology Today*, 14(6), 34–52.
- Carmo, C., Oliveira, D., Brás, M., & Faísca, L. (2021). The influence of parental perfectionism and parenting styles on child perfectionism. *Children*, 8(9), 777. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children8090777>
- Chai, L., Yang, W., Zhang, J., Chen, S., Hennessy, D. A., & Liu, Y. (2020). Relationship between perfectionism and depression among Chinese college students with self-esteem as a mediator. *OMEGA-Journal of Death and Dying*, 80(3), 490–503. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0030222819849746>
- Chęć, M., Konieczny, K., Michałowska, S., & Rachubińska, K. (2025). Exploring the Dimensions of Perfectionism in Adolescence: A Multi-Method Study on Mental Health and CBT-Based Psychoeducation. *Brain Sciences*, 15(1), 91. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15010091>
- Chen, S., Saklofske, D. H., Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2024). Assessing and evaluating the perfectionism social disconnection model: Social support, loneliness, and distress among undergraduate, law, and medical students. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 42(6), 743–767. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829241244951>
- Chung, C. H. P., & Lee, A. M. (2024). Bidimensional Perfectionism and Psychological Distress: The Roles of Self-Esteem and Self-Compassion. *medRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.24.24310699>
- Clark, M. A., Michel, J. S., Zhdanova, L., Pui, S. Y., & Baltes, B. B. (2016). All work and no play? A meta-analytic examination of the correlates and outcomes of workaholism. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1836–1873. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314522301>
- Cui, J., Zhu, K., Wen, J., Nie, W., & Wang, D. (2023). The relationship between moral judgment ability, parenting style, and perfectionism in obsessive-compulsive disorder patients: A mediating analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1133880. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1133880>
- Curran, T., & Hill, A. P. (2022). Young people's perceptions of their parents' expectations and criticism are increasing over time: Implications for perfectionism. *Psychological Bulletin*, 148(1–2), 107. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000347>

- Damian, L. E., Stoeber, J., Negru-Subtirica, O., & Băban, A. (2017). On the development of perfectionism: The longitudinal role of academic achievement and academic efficacy: Development of perfectionism. *Journal of Personality*, 85(4), 565-577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12261>
- De Rosa, L., Miracco, M. C., Galarregui, M. S., & Keegan, E. G. (2023). Perfectionism and rumination in depression. *Current Psychology*, 42(6), 4851-4861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01834-0>
- Dorevitch, B., Buck, K., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Phillips, L., & Krug, I. (2020). Maladaptive perfectionism and depression: Testing the mediating role of self-esteem and internalized shame in an Australian domestic and Asian international university sample. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11, 1272. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01272>
- Egan, S. J., Wade, T. D., & Shafran, R. (2011). Perfectionism as a transdiagnostic process: A clinical review. *Clinical psychology review*, 31(2), 203-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.04.009>
- Enns, M. W., & Cox, B. J. (2002). The nature and assessment of perfectionism: A critical analysis. In G. L. Flett & P. L. Hewitt (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 33-62). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10458-002>
- Etherson, M. E., Curran, T., Smith, M. M., Sherry, S. B., & Hill, A. P. (2022). Perfectionism as a vulnerability following appearance-focussed social comparison: A multi-wave study with female adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 186, 111355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.111355>
- Fekih-Romdhane, F., Sawma, T., Obeid, S., & Hallit, S. (2023). Self-critical perfectionism mediates the relationship between self-esteem and satisfaction with life in Lebanese university students. *BMC psychology*, 11(1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01040-6>
- Flett, G. L., Besser, A., & Hewitt, P. L. (2014). Perfectionism and interpersonal orientations in depression: An analysis of validation seeking and rejection sensitivity in a community sample of young adults. *Psychiatry: Interpersonal and Biological Processes*, 77(1), 67-85. <https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.2014.77.1.67>
- Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., & De Rosa, T. (1996). Dimensions of perfectionism, psychosocial adjustment, and social skills. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 20(2), 143-150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(95\)00170-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(95)00170-0)
- Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., Nepon, T., Sherry, S. B., & Smith, M. (2022). The destructiveness and public health significance of socially prescribed perfectionism: A review, analysis, and conceptual extension. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 93, 102130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2022.102130>
- Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., Oliver, J. M., & Macdonald, S. (2002). Perfectionism in children and their parents: A developmental analysis. In G. L. Flett & P. L. Hewitt (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 89-132). American Psychological Association.
- Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of perfectionism. *Cognitive therapy and research*, 14(5), 449-468. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01172967>
- Frost, R. O., Turcotte, T. A., Heimberg, R. G., Mattia, J. I., Holt, C. S., & Hope, D. A. (1995). Reactions to mistakes among subjects high and low in perfectionistic concern over mistakes. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 19(2), 195-205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02229694>
- Hamachek, D. E. (1978). Psychodynamics of normal and neurotic perfectionism. *Psychology: A Journal of Human Behavior*, 15(1), 27-33.

- Heo, J., Petscher, Y., & Paek, I. (2024). How Are Perfectionism Groups Determined Among Korean College Students? Applying the Best-Fitting Short Form of Hewitt and Flett's Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (HF-MPS) to a Korean Sample. *Psychology International*, 6(4), 1028-1039. <https://doi.org/10.3390/psycholint6040064>
- Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 60(3), 456.
- Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (2002). Perfectionism and stress processes in psychopathology. In G. L. Flett & P. L. Hewitt (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 255–284). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10458-011>
- Hewitt, P. L., Smith, M. M., Ge, S. Y., Mössler, M., & Flett, G. L. (2022). Perfectionism and its role in depressive disorders. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 54(2), 121.
- Hollender, M. H. (1965). Perfectionism. *Comprehensive psychiatry*, 6(2), 94–103.
- Horney, K. (1950). *Neurosis and human growth: The struggle toward self-realization*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Humphrey, C., & Causey-Upton, R. (2022). Can OT Students Be Too Perfect? The Impact of Perfectionism on Academic and Psychosocial Factors. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 76(Supplement_1), 7610505072p1–7610505072p1. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2022.76S1-PO72>
- Kawamoto, A., Sheth, R., Yang, M., Demps, L., & Sevig, T. (2023). The role of self-compassion among adaptive and maladaptive perfectionists in university students. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 51(1), 113-144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00110000221129606>
- Kehayes, I. L. L., Smith, M. M., Sherry, S. B., Vidovic, V., & Saklofske, D. H. (2019). Are perfectionism dimensions risk factors for bulimic symptoms? A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 138, 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.09.022>
- Kempke, S., Luyten, P., Mayes, L. C., Van Houdenhove, B., & Claes, S. (2016). Self-critical perfectionism predicts lower cortisol response to experimental stress in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Health Psychology*, 35(3), 298. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000299>
- Kim, A. J., Sherry, S. B., Mackinnon, S. P., Kehayes, I. L., Smith, M. M., & Stewart, S. H. (2024). Perceived pressure for perfection within friendships triggers conflict behaviors, depressive symptoms, and problematic drinking: A longitudinal actor-partner interdependence model. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 42(6), 635–649. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829241230710>
- Koutra, K., Mouatsou, C., & Psoma, S. (2023). The influence of positive and negative aspects of perfectionism on psychological distress in emerging adulthood: Exploring the mediating role of self-compassion. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(11), 932. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13110932>
- Kyeon, Y. G., Cho, S. M., Hwang, H. G., & Lee, K. U. (2010). The effects of perfectionism on academic achievement in medical students. *Korean Journal of Medical Education*, 22(3), 205-214. <https://doi.org/10.3946/kjme.2010.22.3.205>
- Larionow, P. (2024). How Are Different Perfectionism Traits Related to Mental Health in Students? *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(3), 187. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14030187>
- Lin, S., & Muenks, K. (2022). Perfectionism profiles among college students: A person-centered approach to motivation, behavior, and emotion. *Contemporary*

- Educational Psychology*, 71, 102110.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2022.102110>
- Livet, A., Navarri, X., Pomerleau, P. P., Champagne, S., Yunus, F. M., Chadi, N., ... & Conrod, P. (2023). Perfectionism in children and adolescents with eating-related symptoms: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of effect estimates. *Adolescents*, 3(2), 305–329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/adolescents3020022>
- Lunn, J., Greene, D., Callaghan, T., & Egan, S. J. (2023). Associations between perfectionism and symptoms of anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder and depression in young people: a meta-analysis. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 52(5), 460–487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2023.2211736>
- Magson, N. R., Oar, E. L., Fardouly, J., Johnco, C. J., & Rapee, R. M. (2019). The preteen perfectionist: An evaluation of the perfectionism social disconnection model. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 50(6), 960–974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-019-00897-2>
- Martucci, M., Castellano Visaggi, M., Di Iorio, G., Vacca, M., Zaccaria, V., Ardizzone, I., & Lombardo, C. (2023). Perfectionistic Children and Their Parents: Is There Room for an Intergenerational Transmission? A Study of a Clinical Sample of Italian Children and Their Parents. *Children*, 10(3), 460. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children10030460>
- Meyer, A., & Wissemann, K. (2020). Controlling parenting and perfectionism is associated with an increased error-related negativity (ERN) in young adults. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*, 15(1), 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa018>
- Miegel, F., Moritz, S., Wagener, F., Cludius, B., & Jelinek, L. (2020). Self-esteem mediates the relationship between perfectionism and obsessive-compulsive symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 167, 110239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110239>
- Morkevičiūtė, M., Endriulaitienė, A., & Poškus, M. S. (2021). Understanding the etiology of workaholism: The results of the systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 36(4), 351–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15555240.2021.1968882>
- Nealis, L. J., Sherry, S. B., Perrot, T., & Rao, S. (2020). Self-critical perfectionism, depressive symptoms, and HPA-axis dysregulation: Testing emotional and physiological stress reactivity. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 42(3), 570–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-020-09793-9>
- Rice, K. G., Richardson, C. M., & Ray, M. E. (2015). Perfectionism in academic settings. In F. M. Sirois & D. S. Molnar (Eds.), *Perfectionism, health, and well-being* (pp. 245–264). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18582-8_11
- Robinson, B. E. (2019, October 24). The “rise and grind” of hustle culture. *The Right Mindset. Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/intl/blog/the-right-mindset/201910/the-rise-and-grind-hustle-culture>
- Sederlund, A. P., Burns, L. R., & Rogers, W. M. (2020). Multidimensional models of perfectionism and procrastination: Seeking determinants of both. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(14), 5099. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145099>
- Shafran, R., & Mansell, W. (2001). Perfectionism and psychopathology: A review of research and treatment. *Clinical psychology review*, 21(6), 879–906. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358\(00\)00072-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(00)00072-6)
- Shin, J., Lee, H. J., Park, H., Hong, Y., Song, Y. K., Yoon, D. U., & Oh, S. (2023). Perfectionism, test anxiety, and neuroticism determines high academic performance: a cross-

- sectional study. *BMC psychology*, 11(1), 410. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01369-y>
- Skinner, B. F. (1968). *The technology of teaching*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Slade, P. D., & Owens, R. G. (1998). A dual process model of perfectionism based on reinforcement theory. *Behavior modification*, 22(3), 372-390. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01454455980223010>
- Smith, M. M., Hewitt, P. L., Sherry, S. B., Flett, G. L., & Ray, C. (2022). Parenting behaviors and trait perfectionism: A meta-analytic test of the social expectations and social learning models. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 96, 104180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2021.104180>
- Smith, M. M., Saklofske, D. H., Yan, G., & Sherry, S. B. (2016). Cultural similarities in perfectionism: Perfectionistic strivings and concerns generalize across Chinese and Canadian groups. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 49(1), 63–76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748175615596785>
- Smith, M. M., Sherry, S. B., Chen, S., Saklofske, D. H., Mushquash, C., Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2018a). The perniciousness of perfectionism: A meta-analytic review of the perfectionism- suicide relationship. *Journal of Personality*, 86(3), 522–542. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12333>
- Smith, M. M., Sherry, S. B., McLarnon, M. E., Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., Saklofske, D. H., & Etherson, M. E. (2018b). Why does socially prescribed perfectionism place people at risk for depression? A five-month, two-wave longitudinal study of the perfectionism social Disconnection model. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 134, 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.05.040>
- Smith, M. M., Vidovic, V., Sherry, S. B., Stewart, S. H., & Saklofske, D. H. (2018c). Are perfectionism dimensions risk factors for anxiety symptoms? A meta-analysis of 11 longitudinal studies. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, 31(1), 4–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2017.1384466>
- Stackpole, R., Greene, D., Bills, E., & Egan, S. J. (2023). The association between eating disorders and perfectionism in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eating behaviors*, 50, 101769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2023.101769>
- Stoeber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence, challenges. *Personality and social psychology review*, 10(4), 295–319. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1004_2
- Stoeber, J., & Stoeber, F. S. (2009). Domains of perfectionism: Prevalence and relationships with perfectionism, gender, age, and satisfaction with life. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 46(4), 530–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.12.006>
- Stoeber, J., Feast, A. R., & Hayward, J. A. (2009). Self-oriented and socially prescribed perfectionism: Differential relationships with intrinsic and extrinsic motivation and test anxiety. *Personality and individual differences*, 47(5), 423–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.04.014>
- Stoeber, J., Noland, A. B., Mawenu, T. W., Henderson, T. M., & Kent, D. N. (2017). Perfectionism, social disconnection, and interpersonal hostility: Not all perfectionists don't play nicely with others. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 119, 112–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.07.008>
- Suh, H., Gnika, P. B., & Rice, K. G. (2017). Perfectionism and well-being: A positive psychology framework. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 111, 25–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.01.041>
- Tran, L., & Rimes, K. A. (2017). Unhealthy perfectionism, negative beliefs about emotions, emotional suppression, and depression in students: A mediational

- analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 110, 144–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.01.042>
- Vicent, M., Sanmartín, R., Váscónez-Rubio, O., & García-Fernández, J. M. (2020). Perfectionism profiles and motivation to exercise based on self-determination theory. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(9), 3206. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093206>
- Vieriu, A. M., & Hainagiu, S. M. (2025). Perfectionism and Workaholism as Barriers to Lifelong Learning and Occupational Sustainability: A Cross-Professional Analysis. *Sustainability*, 17(14), 6512. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146512>
- Wang, Q., & Wu, H. (2022). Associations between maladaptive perfectionism and life satisfaction among Chinese undergraduate medical students: the mediating role of academic burnout and the moderating role of self-esteem. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 774622. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.774622>
- Wang, Y., Chen, J., Zhang, X., Lin, X., Sun, Y., Wang, N., ... & Luo, F. (2022). The relationship between perfectionism and social anxiety: A moderated mediation model. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(19), 12934. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912934>
- Wei, S., Li, L., Shi, J., Liang, H., & Yang, X. (2021). Self-compassion mediates the perfectionism and depression link on Chinese undergraduates. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 10(2), 1950960-1951960. <https://doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1582>
- Wirtz, P. H., Elsenbruch, S., Emini, L., Rüdisüli, K., Groessbauer, S., & Ehlert, U. (2007). Perfectionism and the cortisol response to psychosocial stress in men. *Psychosomatic medicine*, 69(3), 249–255. <https://doi.org/10.1097/psy.0b013e318042589e>
- Zhang, J., Liu, L., & Wang, W. (2021). The moderating role of grit in the relationship between perfectionism and depression among Chinese college students. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 729089. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.729089>
- Zhang, J., Luo, X., Yang, W., Xu, J., Deng, P., Wu, H., & Guo, J. (2025). Does the pursuit of perfection by Chinese people harm interpersonal relationships? Evidence from the Wenjuan. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1505595. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1505595>